The Growth Equity Case Study 2.0: Atlassian Model

Add-On Acquisitions Are Always Better with Someone Else’s Money
What is Growth Equity?

This entire tutorial corresponds to an M&I article on the topic:

https://www.mergersandinquisitions.com/growth-equity/

We’ll expand on that M&I article here and show you more of the Excel parts.
Plan for This Tutorial

• **Part 1:** What is Growth Equity?

• **Part 2:** Highlights of a Growth Equity Case Study and Model

• **Part 3:** How to Make an Investment Recommendation
Part 1: What is Growth Equity?

• **Basic Idea:** Mix of *private equity* (leveraged buyouts) and *venture capital* (investing in risky but high-growth-potential startups)

• **Difference 1:** Only tend to invest minority stakes in companies

• **Difference 2:** Companies must have proven markets and business models, i.e., have actual revenue, even if they’re not profitable

• **Difference 3:** Companies use the investment for a *specific* growth purpose, such as market/geographic expansion, more sales reps, factories, acquisitions, etc.
Part 1: What is Growth Equity?

• **Other Differences:** A few related to the financial characteristics of deals...

• **Targeted Multiple or IRR:** Often 3-5x and 30-40%; lower than the 5-10x that VCs target and higher than the 2-3x PE target

• **Returns Sources:** Primarily growth, whether organic or other, no debt paydown, and maybe some from multiple expansion

• **Leverage:** Minimal debt used, but sometimes they use preferred stock or hybrid securities like convertible bonds to mitigate risk
Part 2: Growth Equity Case Study Highlights

• **This one** comes from our Excel & Fundamentals course and is based on Atlassian, a software company that creates tools for programmers

• **Financials:** < $1 billion in revenue, slightly negative operating income, 40% revenue growth, and transition to subscription model

• **Question:** Should we invest $2 billion for a small stake in Atlassian so they can use the funds to acquire other, high-growth software companies and get customers and cross-selling like that?

• **NOTE:** This is a bit weird since Atlassian is far too big for a traditional growth equity deal, $2 billion is huge, etc., but we’ll go with it
Part 2: Growth Equity Case Study Highlights

**Revenue:** Billings vs. Revenue → Billings represents cash collected from customers for orders, but isn’t recognized right away

**New Customers:** Billings increases by XX% per year (50% to 30%)

**Existing Customers:** Certain percentage renew and accept price increases, so their Billings also increase

**Subscription Billings:** New Billings + Existing Billings

**Revenue:** % Recognized from This Year + % Recognized from Prev Year
Part 2: Growth Equity Case Study Highlights

• **License & Maintenance Revenue** is similar, but Licenses are simple one-time sales, and New Maintenance Revenue comes directly from License sales in that year.

• **Expenses**: Some are simple percentages of Revenue or Billings; others, like R&D, are based on the Employee Count and Cost per Employee.

• **Next**: We use these assumptions and fairly standard ones for Working Capital (e.g., Accounts Receivable as a % of Revenue) to build the financial statement projections.
Part 2: Growth Equity Case Study Highlights

• **Add-On Acquisitions:** The company spends $700 – $775 million per year over 5 years to acquire other, high-growth companies.

• **Problem:** Company pays an average **20x revenue multiple** for them! Yes, they’re high growth(∼100%), but are they worth that much?

• **Setup:** We created a mini-waterfall schedule to show the revenue and EBIT from each acquisition each year, and added them up.

• **Punch Line:** Over $3 billion of spending generates only $600 million in extra revenue and $100 million in extra EBIT by Year 5.
Part 2: Growth Equity Case Study Highlights

- **Tax Schedule and NOLs**: Standard setup – create NOLs when Taxable Income is negative, and use them when it’s positive.

- **Statements**: Ignore NOLs on the IS and account for the book vs. Cash tax differences on the CFS.

- **Returns**: Based on Atlassian’s historical revenue multiples, in the 10x to 25x range, and we create sensitivities at the bottom.

- **Why Revenue Multiples?** EV / EBITDA ones don’t work here given the company’s low-to-negative EBITDA initially.
Part 3: How to Make an Investment Recommendation

• **Goals:** 20-25% IRR in the base case, 30%+ in optimistic cases, and a minimum of 10% in downside cases

• **So:** This seems to be an easy “yes” since we meet all those targets, the company is growing quickly and moving to a better business model, and the market is fragmented but growing quickly

• **Biggest Risk:** Severe multiple contraction to the 7-10x level, but we could mitigate some of that with a convertible bond or other hybrid security for the investment

• **BUT:** You could take the other side of this as well!
Part 3: How to Make an Investment Recommendation

• **Why?** The issue is that the add-on acquisitions barely do anything... remove them, and the IRR only changes by ~2-3%

• They’re **too expensive**, and their impact on the returns is questionable; company does well organically, not due to deals

• **So:** Maybe the answer is “No, because the company needs a better plan, such as hiring official sales reps or otherwise spending the money on sales & marketing rather than acquisitions”

• **OR:** Maybe we need to increase the holding period to see the full impact of the acquisitions?
Recap and Summary

- **Part 1:** What is Growth Equity?

- **Part 2:** Highlights of a Growth Equity Case Study and Model

- **Part 3:** How to Make an Investment Recommendation